

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,783	01/17/2002	Dong Zhong	219.40774X00	2663	
20457	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
	LLI, TERRY, STOUT	NGUYEN, SON V			
1300 NOR SUITE 180	TH SEVENTEENTH STI 0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



"Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/046,783 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Son Nguyen

Art Unit 2839

Zhong et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sho	eet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply					
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Ing date of this communication.	n no event, however, m	ay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) the application to becom	MONTHS to	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.	•			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	•		· ·		
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/arc	e a) 🗌 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	ld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 :	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office act	tion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been receive	d.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been receive	d in Apı	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	_		
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•				
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
_	☐ The translation of the foreign language provision					
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	s priority under .	35 U.S.	C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmerv (PT	0-413) Paper No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		nt Application (PTO-152)		
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/046,783 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone et al. (US 6,561,820) in view of Korsunsky (US 6,309,254)

Stone et al. discloses a basis grid array signal conducting arrangement grid array [figures 4-6] that applicant has claimed except for a different spacing between conductor pairs.

Korsunsky [figure 6] teaches a spacing between signal conductor pairs will result in noise reduction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the connector pairs of Stone et al. to provide the spacing as taught by Korsunsky in order to reduce the cross talk effect.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8745.

Art Unit: 2839

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reach on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

SON V. NGUYEN PATENT EXAMINER

September 19, 2003